Project’s Intellectual Outputs

Review Form

# General Info

## Reviewer

Name and surname: Name and Surname

Affiliation: Affiliation

Email: Email

Date of review: Select a date

## Reviewed intellectual output

Type: Choose an element.

Title of the output: Title

Subtitle of the output (if applicable): Subtitle

# Review

| Context and questions to be addressed in detailed comments / recommendations | Detailed comments / recommendations to the author(s) |
| --- | --- |
| Quality and relevance of the report   * Is the presentation of the topic correct? * Does the title exactly match the content? * Has the issue been presented in a logical way? * Are the references sufficient and taken into account? * Are the data sources sufficiently described? | Text of the review. |
| Technical issues   * Have references to literature and citations been properly marked in the text? * Is the illustration of the text (tables, figures, photos, maps) legible, sufficient? | Text of the review. |
| Overall opinion about the report   * What are the strong elements of the report? * What are the weaknesses of the report? | Text of the review. |
| Suggestions to be considered by the author   * Remarks that might help the author(s) to get an in-depth insight into the topic. | Text of the review. |