Project’s Intellectual Outputs

Review Form

# General Info

## Reviewer

Name and surname: Name and Surname

Affiliation: Affiliation

Email: Email

Date of review: Select a date

## Reviewed intellectual output

Type: Choose an element.

Title of the output: Title

Subtitle of the output (if applicable): Subtitle

# Review

| Context and questions to be addressed in detailed comments / recommendations | Detailed comments / recommendations to the author(s) |
| --- | --- |
| Quality and relevance of the report* Is the presentation of the topic correct?
* Does the title exactly match the content?
* Has the issue been presented in a logical way?
* Are the references sufficient and taken into account?
* Are the data sources sufficiently described?
 | Text of the review. |
| Technical issues* Have references to literature and citations been properly marked in the text?
* Is the illustration of the text (tables, figures, photos, maps) legible, sufficient?
 | Text of the review. |
| Overall opinion about the report* What are the strong elements of the report?
* What are the weaknesses of the report?
 | Text of the review. |
| Suggestions to be considered by the author* Remarks that might help the author(s) to get an in-depth insight into the topic.
 | Text of the review. |